

Better Practice Guideline Resource Recovery from Multiple Dwelling Developments 2022



Contents

Ackno	wledgementsi
Definit	tionsi
1.0	Introduction1
2.0	Background2
2.1	Why was this Guideline developed?2
2.2	What resources are available?3
3.0	The Importance of Engagement4
4.0	Organics MDD Planning
5.0	Organics in MDD's6
6.0	Resourcing7
7.0	Education and Engagement8
7.1	Determine Engagement Approach9
7.2	Determine Kerbside Infrastructure11
7.3	Determine Vergeside Infrastructure11
7.4	Evaluation
7.5	Monitoring12
8.0	Roll Out Considerations12
Apper	ndix 1: Waste generation rates13
Apper	ndix 2: Example of MDDs per Local Government17
Apper	ndix 3: Most and least suitable conditions for an organics collection service



Acknowledgements

WALGA would like to acknowledge in the development of these Guidelines.

WALGA acknowledges the Waste Authority for providing funding through the WasteSorted Grants Program – Infrastructure and Community Education, the contributions of Local Government, Waste Management Companies, Strata Management Companies and Real Estate Agents and initial work prepared by Talis Consultants in assisting with the update of these Guidelines.



This project is supported by the Government of Western Australia and administered by the Waste Authority



Definitions

Term	Description/Definition
Bin	Specialised container used to store general waste, commingled recyclables, food organics garden organics or other waste material.
Bin Store	A waste storage area used to store bins between collections. This may also be referred to as a bin room, compound or bin storage area.
Bulk Waste	Bulk waste includes old and broken furniture, white goods and large electronic items. Bulk waste can be a significant issue within developments with a high residential turnover where 'move in – move out' wastes require disposal.
Caretaker	Person(s) or party appointed by the managing agent to be responsible for the management of waste at the development. A caretaker may be a suitably qualified resident of the development or a third party.
Collection Point	The point where general waste, commingled recycling and food organics are emptied into collection vehicles.
Food Organic Garden Organic (FOGO)	Organic wastes including kitchen scraps and lawn clippings, small branches and garden debris.
General Waste	Also referred to as, rubbish, or landfill waste. General Waste is the remaining waste after other materials have been separated for recycling or recovery.
	General waste is collected in red or a dark green lidded bin.
	Hazardous and bulk wastes should not be included in the General Waste bin.
Hazardous waste	Household products that are corrosive, toxic, flammable or reactive if improperly used or disposed of. Can include batteries, fluorescent lamps, gas cylinders, chemicals, paint, cleaning products, medicines and flammable materials and liquids.
Multiple Dwelling Development	In this guide, the term is used to refer to residential developments of more than one dwelling. This ranges from dual occupancies and attached dwellings to high-rise residential unit buildings.
Mixed-Use Development	Development made up of both residential and commercial components
Onsite Collection	When the collection vehicle enters the property and services the development within the property boundary from a designated collection point.
Presentation point	Location/s in which bins are presented to the kerbside for collection.
Recycling	Material that is separated from general waste for the purpose of recycling. Materials includes paper, cardboard, glass, hard plastics, aluminium and steel containers.



WALGA	Western Australia Local Government Association.
WARR Strategy	Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Strategy 2030
Waste generation rate	These are the average general waste, recycling and FOGO generation volumes associated with MDDs.
Waste Management Plan (WMP)	Document that defines how waste will be managed for a proposed development. Requirements for Waste Management Plans may vary between Local Governments.

Abbreviation	Description/Definition
ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
CALD	Culturally and Linguistically Diverse
CCC	Consistent Communications Collective
СоР	City of Perth
CoV	City of Vincent
СоМ	City of Melville
ESL	English as Second Language
FOGO	Food Organics Garden Organics
FO	Food Organics
LG	Local Government
MDD	Multiple Dwelling Development
MGB	Mobile Garbage Bin
SMRC	Southern Metropolitan Regional Council (Resource Recovery Group)
WA	Western Australia
WALGA	Western Australian Local Government Association



1.0 Introduction

The Western Australia Local Government Association (WALGA) first developed *Better Practice Guidelines Resource Recovery from Multiple Dwelling Developments (MDDs)* in 2016/17, with funding from the Waste Authority through the Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Account. In 2019 the Waste Avoidance and Resource Recovery Strategy 2030 (Waste Strategy) was released, which aims to guide the state in its transition towards a more sustainable, low-waste circular economy. The Waste Strategy sets a target to increase material recovery to 75% by 2030 and identifies organics, including food organics and garden organics as a focus material. As a result, these Better Practice Guidelines have been updated to incorporate improved recovery of organics from existing MDD's.

The term Better Practice, rather than Best Practice, has been used to recognise that these Guidelines and indeed the whole of waste management practice changes over time. There is no static Best Practice, rather there is ongoing improvement through developing better practice.

This Guideline has been developed to provide guidance on waste management options for existing Multiple Dwelling Developments (MDD's) and to encourage diversion of waste from landfill with a particular focus on organics. For the purpose of this document, the term 'multiple dwelling development' is applied to developments that reflect the R codes definition of multiple dwellings or more commonly referred to as apartments. Within such developments, space for bin storage is limited and standard Local Government collection services may not be readily applied.

MDD's are frequently identified as likely to have higher contamination rates for recycling services and more frequent instances of illegal dumping by those servicing and managing the properties. Organics (food organics or garden organics) represents approximately 43.5% of the general waste bin.¹

Research from other states in Australia indicates that:

"As a more affordable form of housing, MDDs tend to have a higher proportion of rental tenants and higher resident turnover than other types of dwellings. This is problematic from a waste education perspective as short-term tenancy makes it difficult to maintain high levels of waste literacy".²

The focus of this Guideline is to:

- Determine the most appropriate type and quantity of Food Organics (FO) or Food Organics Garden Organics (FOGO) infrastructure for MDD properties
- Develop a priority list of properties to implement FO/FOGO services to, based on ease of implementation
- Steps for developing a service implementation plan for the Local Government
- Identify resourcing requirements.

Section 2 provides background to these Guidelines, including why they were developed and current resources available to assist Local Government, strata companies and real estate agents. Section 3 of the Guidelines identifies the importance of engagement with all parties involved in the management of waste from Multiple Dwelling Developments. Sections 4, 5 and 6 respectively outlines organics planning, an overview of the suitability of MDDs to organic

¹ Southern Metropolitan Regional Council 2020, EMRC Waste Audit <u>https://www.emrc.org.au/documents/819/emrc-waste-audit-presentation</u>

²Fairfield City Council (2015) Case Study: Fairfield MUD recycling program Available online <u>https://wsroc.com.au/images/Waste/Case Study - Fairfield MUDs education web.pdf</u>



collection and the resourcing and planning required to deliver an organics service to MDD's. Section 7 is education, engagement and identification of better practice approaches while section 8 outlines organics rollout considerations. Section 10 discusses MDD case studies where organic collection services have been trialled.

2.0 Background

2.1 Why was this Guideline developed?

Waste management systems in MDDs may incorporate any or all of the following:

- services to manage general wastes, i.e. the wastes not collected by a dedicated recyclables or organics collection service
- commingled recycling services to manage dry recyclable materials such as paper, cardboard, glass bottles and jars, steel cans and plastic containers.
- organics services to manage garden and food organics, which may include a bin-based collection system or on-site composting
- bulky waste services to manage bulky household items, such as furniture or whitegoods. Note: materials collected in bulky waste services differ across local government areas

Better practice waste management therefore establishes the design and provision and maintenance of services and infrastructure that enable waste, commingled recycling, organics and bulky waste services to be made in the best possible way to improve resource recovery. It requires continuously searching for ways to improve infrastructure, systems and services as knowledge and experience accumulates over time.

Better practice management systems are effective and safe. Residents can use them with ease and collection crews can easily access and service them. The design, installation and ongoing management of better practice systems encourage residents to use the services appropriately. This includes greater participation in the services provided, minimised waste generation, increased resource recovery and reduced contamination of recyclables and organics.

The Better Practice FOGO step by step guide³ outlines requirements for single dwellings and households with a separate waste service, however it does not address waste service considerations for MDD's. MDD's may have a range of waste service challenges particularly:

- No individual household ownership over bins, resulting in higher contamination rates/misuse of bins
- Limited of space for suitable bin infrastructure
- Less convenient access to the bin storage area therefore less motivation to participate
 and lower recovery rates
- Higher resident turnover, necessitating additional infrastructure provision (e.g., kitchen caddies and caddy liners) and ongoing
- Difficult to manage/monitor services and
- Repeated provision of education

A 'one-size' fits all approach is not suitable for MDDs. Depending on the size and type of property, a FO service may be more appropriate than a FOGO service. Additionally, bin ratios are different depending on the size of the apartments and greenspace available. Therefore,

³ <u>Better practice FOGO services A step-by-step guide.pdf (wasteauthority.wa.gov.au)</u>



implementing a FOGO system into existing MDDs requires a tailored approach to ensure high levels of resource recovery and to minimal contamination and instances of illegal dumping.

To effectively implement a new waste service requires a significant behaviour change process and having the right infrastructure in place. Without this there could be a negative perception from residents that would undermine the effectiveness of the service. Rectifying any issues with implementation, then becomes a resource intensive activity for the Local Government implementing the service. The method outlined in this Guideline focuses on sufficiently resourcing the planning and implementation stage, with the aim of ensuring the most appropriate system is put in place. This will reduce ongoing requirements for maintenance and management post system implementation.

2.2 What resources are available?

Waste Management Plan Guidelines for New Multi Dwelling Developments (available from the WasteNet website) provide guidance on how to incorporate best practice principles into different aspects of the waste management planning process. Details are provided on calculating predicted waste volumes, how to manage specific waste streams, as well as the selection and design of waste infrastructure to ensure that is it safe, practical and works towards maximising the diversion of recyclables and organics. The Guidelines also contain checklists and pro-forma for the preparation of waste management plans by developers.

Resources for Strata Companies and Real Estate Agents

Waste management systems may vary between Local Governments, with two and three bin systems in place. One resource which can assist is the <u>Recycle Right website</u> and <u>App</u> – these resources provide information on the requirements for each bin system (and information for individual Local Governments).

The State Government <u>WasteSorted</u> resources are also useful for communication. To contact individual Local Governments, WALGA has an online <u>Local Government Directory</u> which includes information for all WA Local Governments.

Charity collections are also an option for bulk reusable goods, as it encourages residents to donate to charity drop off sites such as:

- Good Sammy
- Vinnies
- Red Cross
- Salvos
- Anglicare
- Save the Children
- People who Care (Starting over Support)

Other options include Facebook Groups such as 'Buy Nothing pages', Marketplace and Gumtree.

Resources for Local Government

The DWER <u>Better Practice Vergeside Collection Guidelines</u>⁴ includes a range of service delivery options.

The <u>Bin Tagging Program</u> is an evidenced based approach shown to reduce contamination and increase resource recovery. However, may only be effective in some MDDs.

⁴ <u>Guidelines for local government vergeside and drop-off services | Waste Authority WA</u>



Planning for Waste Management

<u>Model Local Planning Policy and Explanatory Notes</u>, the Model Policy and Explanatory Notes have been developed by WALGA and reviewed by external consultants. The purpose of the Model Policy is to inform external parties of Local Government's expectation that waste avoidance and resource recovery considerations will be addressed in the construction, demolition and on-going operation of future developments. The Explanatory Notes have been developed so that they can be used by Planning Officers to gain an understanding of the background to this issue, as well as forming the basis of a Report to Council requesting endorsement of the Policy.

<u>Planning Conditions</u>, WALGA has undertaken an extensive review of the waste management planning conditions attached to various development approvals by Local Governments as well as through Development Assessment Panels and used these as a basis to draft model conditions. WALGA has received legal advice on the wording of the model conditions to ensure they can pass the four tests of validity and achieve the desired waste management planning outcomes.

A <u>Waste Local Law Template</u> and explanatory note is available for Local Government use and includes enforcement options.

MDD and Mixed Use Development Waste Calculator

Appendix 1 lists the waste and recycling generation rates per dwelling size/type and commercial unit type. The total number of dwellings and anticipated floor areas of proposed commercial uses will assist with estimating the number of MGBs required for MDD and mixed-use developments. The online waste generation calculator aims to assist architects, building designers, building managers and waste management personnel to incorporate effective waste and recycling systems in to MDDs and mixed-use developments.

3.0 The Importance of Engagement

In the Waste Management Plan Guidelines for New Multi Dwelling Developments the importance of engagement, by developers, with the Local Government was highlighted. This is vital at the development stage, as early consultation with Local Government enables developers to obtain a better understanding of local waste planning requirements, service delivery options and what is expected as part of new developments.

In relation to management of waste from MDD's, ongoing engagement between Local Government, waste management companies, Strata Companies and Real Estate Agents is vital to ensure systems put in place continue to function effectively and to identify and address any issues when they arise. All organisations have limited resources, so it is important that effective engagement is undertaken, and the process is made straight forward for all parties.

4.0 Organics MDD Planning

To ensure that the approach taken considers all the factors relating to MDDs it is recommended that the Local Government develop a database of all MDDs in the area. The database needs to identify a range of factors, including the service type and demographics.



Information required	Details					
Service type	Whether there are shared services or separate services (separate services should go ahead with standard rollout with option for a smaller FOGO bin if available – on the basis of smaller yards & lower occupancy/household					
Demographics	From the rates database determine portion of renters compared to permanent residents, any Culturally and Linguistically Diverse (CALD) residents based on the address to send the rates notice to or alternatively check Australian Bureau of Statistics: Quick Stats ⁵ Identify if there is a history of waste management					
Contamination issues	Identify if there is a history of waste management issues/contamination at the properties based on a database search or information from the recycling contractor.					
Contact	Identify a contact person for each MDD (strata manager or body corporate contact) – from rates database, site visit or waste enquiries. A consistent contact person is key when arranging site visits to ensure someone is on site to access the building.					
Details of site	 How many bin stores there are & where they are located (fully accessible, locked bin room or in a locked car park) Whether residents or a property manager place the bins out for servicing Whether there is an appropriate wall or cage next to the bins to place weather-proof signage on Whether there are separate waste storage rooms on each floor or residents take waste directly to the bins. Is there sufficient verge space to present the bins for service. Any historical waste or other significant issues related to the property (note this could be determined by a database search within Local Government) Existing recycling education initiatives in the property – e.g., council/contractor provided signs, resident provided signs/bin stickers/council mail outs/bin tagging. 					

⁵ 2016 Census QuickStats: Greater Perth (abs.gov.au)



Type of Service	 Decide whether a FO service only will be offered, if yes how far will bins be wheeled and should it be a 140L bin limit for FO only services
	Consider frequency of collection for hims
	Consider frequency of collection for bins
	 Consider if commingled recycling bins can be upgraded from 240L to 360L
	Consider if a GOFA/walk in service may be suitable for the building
	Waste generation rates as listed in Appendix 1

5.0 Organics in MDD's

Assessing Suitability of MDD's

Aim

Prior to introducing an organic waste collection system to MDD's, it is recommended that Local Governments assess the suitability of each MDD for an organics service.

Issues common to MDDs in relation to waste management, and in particular in relation to organic waste segregation, include a segregated organic waste collections not being offered to residents, management companies and/or property agents who do not respond positively to residents' requests for segregated collections, housekeeping issues at communal bin areas including odour, hygiene and untidiness. Other barriers include small kitchens with little space for additional bins or caddies, a higher level of resident turnover, negative perceptions of food waste segregation ('the yuckiness' factor, possible odour, pests, etc) and residents believing they do not waste enough food to segregate.

Some Local Governments have a significant number of MDDs while others have a smaller number. Examples are presented



Appendix 2.

Approach

The main criteria that should be considered and reviewed by Local Governments prior to implementing an organics collection at MDD's are:

- Profile of the MDD
- Current waste management arrangements to determine baseline
- Waste storage Area
- Building Design
- Stakeholder Engagement
- Staffing resources

A more detailed explanation of these criteria together with the most and least suitable conditions for implementing an organic waste collection scheme are listed in **Appendix 3**. If an MDD is not suitable, alternative arrangements should be considered and the reasons for not implementing the system documented. This quality procedure will facilitate an assessment review at a later stage and inform the future design and layout of MDD to ensure that they are functional and fit for purpose.

Additional considerations specific to MDD's which may become apparent during site visits, may also need to be assessed. Obtaining accurate data on MDD's will facilitate and improve the suitability assessment. Based on the information/data gathered and assessed it should be determined whether an organic collection scheme is/is not a priority for the MDD. A site visit checklist

Another option is MDDs could also be potentially risk assessed as per Table 1.

Risk Rating	Considerations/criteria	Timeframe for implementation
Minimal	MDDs with separate services	Rollout at the same time as the rest of the community with same bin configuration or consider a 140L FOGO bin
Low	Engaged contact person/property manager Minimal history of recent (24 months) recycling contamination/ bin presentation/ illegal dumping offences Sufficient room in the bin storage room and no anticipated service considerations	3-6 months after main council rollout
Medium	Contact person that is stable Minimal history of recent (12 months) recycling contamination/ bin presentation/ illegal dumping offences Challenging but manageable bin capacity / servicing considerations	6-12 months after main council rollout
High > 40 units	Contact person not regular/ easy to contact History of waste servicing issues Bin capacity/bin servicing challenges	12-24 months after main council rollout, with resources available for close monitoring

Table 1: Property ranking system for MDD's



Rationale

Management/strata companies

Management companies have an obligation to provide the best possible facilities to sourceseparate waste as much as possible. The participation and co-operation of this stakeholder is essential for its success. Continuous and ongoing assessment of the progress of the scheme, as well as maintaining communication with residents and the waste collector is recommended.

Residents

Residents have a responsibility to make themselves aware of the waste facilities provided and active residents' committees should engage with property management and waste collectors and make them aware of any possible problems that may be occurring or suggest ways to improve the current waste management situation.

6.0 Resourcing

Aim

Ensure sufficient resourcing for implementation, engagement and ongoing community behaviour change.

Approach

Table 2 outlines the resourcing potentially required. Note that any investment in this process will also improve commingled recycling recovery and contamination management.

Stage	<20 Properties	20 - 50 Properties	100 - 200 properties	200+ properties	
Planning (e.g., tasks outlined in number 6)	In-house 2 week	4 weeks full time + 2 weeks site visits	5 weeks full time + 4 weeks site visits	6 weeks full time + 5 weeks site visits	9 weeks full time + 6 weeks site visits
Rollout	2 day/ week for 6 months	4 days/ week for 9 months	6 days/week for 18 months	6 days/week for 18 months	Full time permanent

Table 2: Recommended resourcing for MDD FOGO planning and implementation

Note: Allow 1-2 hours including travel time per site visit

Rationale

The service implementation method proposed requires sufficient resourcing during the planning and rollout phase. To identify the characteristics of the MDDs it may require a phone call, electronic survey and/or site visit to each property. Many properties are likely to need follow up visits. A site visit could potentially be 1-2 hours in duration including travel time. A development may have multiple building/towers on site, and each may require a different set of keys/access fobs.

7.0 Education and Engagement

Behavioural change around waste behaviour is a complex area with a wide range of values, motivations and circumstances impacting an individual's decisions in this regard. Most work in the area of household waste related behaviours suggest that using a collection of interventions including informational materials and practical tools work better than singular interventions. The most useful of these for communications include the following:



- clear and simple consumer-friendly language
- the integration of segregation advice with information on preventing food waste
- the use of multilingual communications
- prioritising consultation with a range of stakeholders including residents
- frequent, consistent communication
- provision for feedback
- a system for dealing with enquiries in the early stages of an intervention program.

Useful recommendations on practical matters includes the provision of kitchen caddies and compostable liners to all households, clear signage, weekly food waste collections and attention to good housekeeping in the communal bin areas. **Table 3** summarises the barriers, enablers and issues with food waste segregation and collection in MDD's.

 Table 3: Barriers, enablers and issues with food organics

Barrier	S
•	Distribution of information to letterboxes if letterbox is full of junk mail. An alternative is to deliver straight to each door unit and/or display posters around building
•	Lack of education and awareness
•	Unclear signage/direction
•	Restricted space for segregation bins (small kitchen areas)
•	Inadequate supervision/no cameras at common bin areas
•	As management fees include waste collection, there is little scope for fiscal incentives
•	With food waste bins, worries about odour & mess
•	Issues with transferring waste from upper floor apartments if there is no elevator
•	Odour from bin chutes or enclosed bin store areas
•	Language barriers
•	Management company lacking interest
•	Bins blocking exits or entrances
•	Badly designed outside bin areas
Enable	rs
•	Collaboration and buy-in from all stakeholders
•	Clear signage and communication (generic literature works well for replication)
•	Culturally and linguistically diverse communications (CALD)
•	Compostable liners and caddies supplied
•	Caddy area in basement for residents to leave caddy and collect at a later stage (in developments where there is no elevator residents may chose to carry caddy to the bin store area and carry on with their daily duties and collect caddy on return)
•	Larger number of recycling bins than general waste
•	On-site manager/caretaker
٠	One organisation driving the initiative (Management Company/residents group)
Other (General Issues
•	Bulky Cardboard is an issue in common bin areas
•	Contamination in common bin areas (continued)
•	Confusion about plastics



7.1 Determine Engagement Approach

Aim

Identify the suite of approaches needed for engagement.

Approach

The approach to engagement is focused primarily on reducing contamination and increasing resource recovery from the kerbside system but can also include information and assist in motivation about waste reduction and reuse options and other services available.

Information options:

- Stickers on lid of bin
- Signage in the bin area
- Leaflets to residents
- Letters to residents
- Webinar Session for Strata Managers, Property Managers & Interested Council of Owners.

Information from Local governments was that often information went to the owner of the property rather than the occupier. For this reason, it is suggested that any correspondence be addressed to the occupier. Information should be provided to the Strata Company and local Real Estate Agents.

Engagement options:

- Onsite bin inspections
- Bin Tagging
- Door knocking
- Displays in building foyer*
- Meeting with community groups*
- Presenting at schools*.

*May not be relevant for all MDDs.

Table 4 provides some suggested approaches that can be used depending on the levels of contamination present in kerbside bins at the MDD (identified in Section 5 and Appendix 2).

/	Low	Medium	High	
Information				
Stickers on lid of bin		Х	Х	
Signage in the bin area	Х	Х	Х	
Leaflets to residents		Х	Х	
Letters to residents	Х	Х	Х	
Engagement				
Onsite bin inspections	Х	Х	Х	
Door knocking			Х	
Displays in building foyer		Х	Х	
Meeting with community	This maybe an option for some MDDs, to be considered along with other approaches.			
groups*				
Presenting at schools*				

Table 4: Options for intervention based on level of contamination

Rationale

The approach suggested is to put the most effort into the MDD's with the highest contamination levels to optimise the use of resources and have the biggest impact. The approaches used can be sequenced, for example, door knocking followed by bin tagging.



These options are suggestions only and the information and engagement approaches used will be impacted by the resources available to the Local Government.

In looking at the effectiveness of the different information and engagement options, the research suggests:

- Bin Stickers: Stickers on bin lids were identified by one of the case studies as a lowcost way of having some impact on behaviour. There are potentially useful as part of other information provision and engagement options to reinforce other approaches. The limitations to bin stickers are:
 - Bin Stickers are at point of disposal, when often source separation occurs at point of generation.
 - If collection systems change this impacts on the effectiveness of the bin stickers, which then have to be removed.
 - Research undertaken in South Australia also indicates that after the first one or two views, people take less notice of the bin stickers and even missremember what is on them.
- Signage in bin areas: This was used frequently in the case studies as a complementary measure to other interventions, it has the advantage of being relatively cheap to install and can be modified when required.
- Leaflet to resident: This type of education material alone is unlikely to be effective but combined with others it can assist.
- Letter to resident: Direct correspondence to residents often receives more attention than leaflets, so can be more effective in engaging residents and providing information about the use of different services.
- Onsite bin inspections: the research suggests that even having people on site looking in the bins improves behaviour.
- Bin Tagging: This a highly effective way of changing behaviour however it is resource intensive. The higher the initial contamination, the greater the improvement that would be expected over the course of the Bin Tagging program implementation. For low levels of contamination, only small improvements are noted. Bin Tagging is most effective where residents have an individual ownership of their bins.
- Door knocking: This can be a highly effective approach to engagement but needs to be carefully planned to ensure residents are at home.
- Displays in foyer: Depending on layout of MDD, this can be an effective way to engage with residents.
- Meeting with Community Groups / Engagement with Schools: These options could be complementary measures to improve education and engagement in the area, particularly if the Local Government is working with a large number of MDDs.

7.2 Determine Kerbside Infrastructure

Aim

Identify infrastructure needed to facilitate increase resource recovery and source separation.

Approach

External infrastructure needs have been identified in **Appendix 4**, the other element of improving kerbside source separation is to provide infrastructure for inside the MDDs – for example reusable bags for recycling and caddys with liners for collecting food waste.

Rationale

It is important to ensure that the infrastructure is in place, both the general bins and within the MDDs, to make it easy for residents to source separate their waste.

CASE STUDY: City of Perth and City of Vincent



In 2018 WALGA worked with the City of Perth and the City of Vincent to test specific engagement and infrastructure solutions for a range of MDD's. In the Cities of Perth and Vincent this will include introducing a food waste collection service, as well as seeking to improve waste and recycling separation options. Residents were provided with reusable bags for their recycling and kitchen caddys for food waste. Detailed analysis is provided in Section 10.

7.3 Determine Vergeside Infrastructure *Aim*

Identify the frequency and approach to vergeside collection needed for the MDD.

Approach

It is suggested an on-demand approach verge collection approach be used for Strata premises. This would mean that residents would be directed to the Strata company to organise on demand collection of materials, or the Strata would organise (and advertise) collections periodically over the course of the year. The Waste Authority⁶ recently released Better Practice Guidelines for Local Government vergeside and drop-off services.

Rationale

If a pre booked Verge Valet[™] service is used by the Local Government, feedback from workshops in 2018 indicated that Strata Companies would prefer to be organising these collections, rather than individual residents requesting the services. Research shows that MDD's have a higher turnover of residents, consequently, may be generating bulky waste more frequently than in other residential areas. By ensuring on demand collections, the potential for illegal dumping can be reduced as residents will have a convenient option to dispose of bulky goods. The Western Metropolitan Regional Council (WMRC) offers a Verge Valet[™] Service to its Member Councils – strata companies that have more than 25 units per development can book one service per month (with the exception of the Town of Cambridge where the number of units is 15).

7.4 Evaluation

Aim

Evaluate the success of the interventions.

Approach

Following the interventions it is suggested that bin inspections be undertaken to determine levels of contamination present compared to the initial results.

Rationale

Bin inspections are suggested as the primary means of assessing the success of the intervention because they show directly what changes there have been to behaviour. Surveys of residents may show changes in attitude/knowledge, but that does not necessarily correlate with changes to behaviour.

7.5 Monitoring

Aim

Monitor the ongoing effectiveness of the waste management intervention.

Approach

Monitoring approaches could include:

- Strata Companies providing feedback on any issues with illegal dumping and feedback from residents
- Waste Collection companies identifying if contamination of kerbside bins has improved

⁶ Vergeside and Dropoff Guidelines.pdf (wasteauthority.wa.gov.au)



- Bin inspections 6 and 12 months after the intervention
- Uptake and use of vergeside collection systems.

Rationale

To ensure the long-term success of the intervention, it is necessary to monitor a range of variables. Monitoring will indicate if additional interventions are required.

8.0 Roll Out Considerations

Determine exact number of properties in the rollout stage including:

- Education materials type and number and how they will be distributed
- Number of bins/kitchen caddies/liners
- Location for materials to be delivered to
- Method of consultation with property
- Who will be responsible for managing contamination and presenting bins for collection?

Refer to Section 9.5 of the Waste Authority Better Practice FOGO Service⁷A Step-by-Step Guide for a more details of Roll-out of a new service.

⁷ Better practice FOGO services A step by step guide.pdf (wasteauthority.wa.gov.au)



Appendix 1: Waste generation rates

The following instructions apply when using the online Waste Calculator to calculate waste generation rates for mixed use developments. Data has been provided on a 'per week' basis as similar premises may operate five or seven days per week. This data is predominately to be used for calculating waste, organics and recycling generation rates as part of the planning process. For premises that have multiple types of facilities (residential facility with café, gym, bar or office building with café, childcare) all such facilities must be calculated separately then volumes combined when looking at the bins required, storage size and collection frequencies. The volume calculations do not take into consideration other systems such as compactors/balers for cardboard and plastic.

Dwelling Size/Use Type	Guidelines Used	General Waste	OR	General Waste	&	FOGO	Commingled Recyclables	Metric		
	Pesidential <12 Dwollings									
	Residential < 12 Dwennigs									
1 Bedroom		70		30		40	40	L/week		
2 Bedrooms	8	130		50		80	60	L/week		
3+ Bedrooms		210		70		140	120	L/week		
		R	lesider	tial >12 Dwell	lings					
1 Bedroom		60		40	/	20	20	L/week		
2 Bedrooms	11	100		60		40	40	L/week		
3+ Bedrooms		140		80		60	90	L/week		
			Food	and Beverag	je					
Butcher / Seafood (shop front)	9 10 11 12 with EQGO	1400		840		560	1680	L/100m²/ week		
Butcher / Seafood (wholesaler/ processing)	adjustment	2730		1050		1680	2520	L/100m²/ week		
Delicatessen	^{12 13 15} with FOGO adjustment	700		350		350	350	L/100m ² / week		
Fruit & vegetable Shop (Greengrocer)	¹⁵ with FOGO adjustment	3570		1050		2520	2520	L/100m²/ week		

- ⁹ City of South Perth: Guidelines for Waste Management Plans 2021
- ¹⁰ <u>City of Melbourne: Guidelines for Waste Management Plans 2021</u>
- ¹¹ City of Gosnells Information Sheet Waste Collection

⁸ Talis knowledge and industry experience

¹² Zero Waste SA: Better Practice Guide Waste Management 2014



Dwelling Size/Use Type	Guidelines Used	General Waste	OR	General Waste	&	FOGO	Commingled Recyclables	Metric
Restaurant	12 13 14 13 14 15 19 20 with FOGO adjustment	4340		3500		840	2100	L/100m²/ week
Supermarket	¹² 13 15 14 19 ²⁰ with FOGO adjustment	4900		3500		1400	1680	L/100m²/ week
Café	12 13 14 15 20 with FOGO adjustment	2940		2100		840	1400	L/100m ² / week
Fast Food Outlet (Chain Store)	^{12 13 14} with FOGO adjustment	3360		2520		840	2520	L/100m²/ week
Takeaway shop	^{12 13 14} with FOGO adjustment	945		700		245	1050	L/100m²/ week
Convenience Store	¹³ with FOGO adjustment	1960		1680		280	1680	L/100m²/ week
Tavern/Small Bar	¹⁸ with FOGO adjustment	3220		2800		420	2100	L/100m²/ week
Hotel or Motel (Bar Areas Only)	15	350		350		0	350	L/100m²/ week
Hotel or Motel (Bar & Dining)	^{16 17} with FOGO adjustment	2940		2100		840	1400	L/100m²/ week
Licenced Entertainment/C ommunity Club (Bar Area only)	¹⁵ with FOGO	350		350		0	560	L/100m²/ week
Licenced Entertainment/C ommunity Club (Bar & Dining)	adjustment	2940		2100		840	1400	L/100m²/ week
Retail								

¹³ Local Planning Policies: Waste Management

¹⁶ WALGA

¹⁴ City of Sydney: Guidelines for waste management in new developments

¹⁵ City of Melville: Waste and Recyclables Collection for Multiple Dwellings, Mixed Use Developments and Non-Residential Developments

¹⁷ City of Perth: Waste Guidelines for Developments 2019



Dwelling Size/Use Type	Guidelines	General Waste	OR	General Waste	&	FOGO	Commingled Recyclables	Metric
	0000	muono		maoto				0
Retail shop <100m² floor area	16 17 18 19	350		350		0	175	L/100m²/ week
Retail shop >100m ² floor area	12 13 16 17 18 19 20	350		350		0	350	L/100m²/ week
Showrooms	12 13 14 16 17 ^{18 19 20} with FOGO adjustment	380		140		140	140	L/100m²/ week
Hairdresser/ Salons	¹⁵ with FOGO adjustment	315		245		70	210	L/100m²/ week
			Othe	er Commercia	l			
Serviced Apt. Backpacker or Boarding House	15	40		30		10	20	L/Bedroom/ week
Offices/ Medical/ Consulting	13 14 16 17 18 ^{19 20} _{with} FOGO adjustment	770		735		35	105	L/100m²/ week
Hotel/Motel accommodation only	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 with FOGO adjustment	40		30		10	20	L/Bedroom/ week
Licenced club	¹¹ but based on ¹² ¹³ ¹⁶ ¹⁷ 19 20	350		350		0	560	L/100m²/ week
Education/ Training	12 13 14	35		35		0	35	L/100m²/ week
Childcare	¹² 1 ³ 1 ⁴ 2 ⁰ with FOGO adjustment	360		240		120	240	L/100m²/ week
Function Room	²⁰ with FOGO adjustment	1960		1400		560	1400	L/100m²/ week
Gym	13	70		70		0	70	L/100m²/ week
Retirement Village	¹³ with FOGO adjustment	80		60		20	60	L/apartment/ week



Dwelling Size/Use Type	Guidelines Used	General Waste	OR	General Waste	&	FOGO	Commingled Recyclables	Metric
Independent living	¹³ with FOGO adjustment	110		80		30	80	L/apartment/ week
Community/ Sports Centre/place of Worship/ Recreation	14	350		350		0	350	L/100m²/ week



Appendix 2: Example of MDDs per Local Government

Local Government	% High Density	No. of units	Assumed average property size (units)	No of properties (Est)
City of Stirling	8.9	7,208	25	300+
Town of Cottesloe	18.4	3258	20	150+
City of Perth	82.8	12,206	60	200+
City of Vincent	21.5	3,613	30	130+
City of South Perth	10.8	2,701	20	130+
Town of Victoria Park	14.5	2,451	30	80+
City of Cockburn	4.9	2,115	20	100+
City of Subiaco	25.6	2,160	30	80+
City of Belmont	9.2	1,692	30	50+
City Fremantle	11.3	1,643	30	50+
City of Joondalup	1.6	955	30	30+
City of East Fremantle	14.3	469	20	25+



Appendix	3:	Most	and	least	suitable	conditions	for	an	organics	collection
		servic	e							

	Most suitable	Least suitable	Rationale
Profile of the MDD		•	•
Size of the MDD development, i.e., number of apartment units Number of residents and tenants Social and demographic profile of residents and tenants Communal space available, e.g., car- parking spaces, green areas, etc.	Less than 12 units ¹⁸ (low density) Active residents' committee with good awareness of waste management Adequate space and options of locations for a waste storage area or, if a new development, sufficient consideration given to planning waste storage facilities	Greater than 12 units ¹⁹ (medium-high density) Residents unaware of current waste management procedures Non-existent or inactive residents' committee Old buildings where there may be difficulty retrofitting adequate	This approach allows the predominant demographic and language groups to be identified whilst also identifying factors such as tenure (owned or rented) which can inform the frequency of engagement
		waste storage facilities	
Is there source separation of waste on site at present? How many bins (waste/recycling/other) are available for use? How frequent is the current collection service? How is the service being used – presentation rate for bins, how full the bins are (percentage), contamination type and level for all bins presented. LG or private collection service	Good system of waste separation already in place, easy for residents to use and understand, colour- coded bins Waste collectors have experience of collecting organic waste or have looked at the possible issues associated with the introduction of such systems	Unsegregated waste management system in place Uncooperative waste collector and property management company High contamination levels High illegal dumping	This approach allows an assessment of the current MDD service including whether additional bins are required, or the number of bins reduced Whether the collection frequency is adequate or additional services are required Contamination type allows different education and engagement approaches to be tailored to address specific issues
Waste Storage Area	1		
What are the current arrangements for the storage of waste on site?	Organic bin/liner type, typically a 7L bin with compostable liner	Inaccessible Inadequate space	This approach assesses the suitability of the waste storage area

¹⁸ 12 units was considered to be a reasonable cut-off where typically 1-12 units would be low density, 1 to 3 story high development and likely to have more space for a bit of a garden and more storage (generating more garden organics in FOGO bin and packaging in recyclables bin).
¹⁹ 12+ units are considered to be more medium to high density, where FOGO is predominantly food waste and

¹⁹ 12+ units are considered to be more medium to high density, where FOGO is predominantly food waste and there is typically less packaging in the recyclables bins due to typically less floor area for storage of goods, which is why the generation rates are lower for FOGO and recycling.



	Most suitable	Least suitable	Rationale
How is the waste	Waste storage areas should	Unscreened or unfenced	
storage area currently	be adequately ventilated		
accessed?		Insufficient bins	
Can the current waste	Access for residents and		
storage area	waste collectors should also	Insufficient collection	
accommodate extra	be considered	frequency	
bins?			
Are there health and		Communal bins are the	
safety issues with the		most appropriate option	
current arrangements or		for MDDs >12 ²⁰	
if organic bins are			
introduced?			
Building Design	1	1	1
Does the building	Ground level collection is	Inappropriate and	This approach allows
design facilitate the	preferred which should be	inadequate waste	an informed decision if
introduction of a	adequately fenced or	facilities	the building is suitable
separate collection of	screened off to reduce		for an organics
organic waste?	visual impact	Restricted vehicle and	collection service
Are there access		resident access	
constraints at the	Bin storage areas should be		
complex for residents or	located close to the		
waste collection	residential thoroughtare of		
vehicles	the complex to encourage		
Is there space within the	maximum participation		
footprint of the MDD	If accurity is a concern	/	
complex for an	If security is a concern,		
alternative waste	key to access the bin		
	storage room or alternatively		
is the waste storage	for the bins		
area accessible to the			
Can bins be easily	The waste storage area		
moved to a convenient	should have a water supply		
	and adequate drainage for		
day?	water run-off and spillages		
Stakeholder engagemen	nt		
Is there a Management	The initial and ongoing	Little engagement	This approach allows
Company in place on	education awareness and	between stakeholders	active engagement
site?	promotion to the	Inadequate awareness	between the Local
Is there a full-time	residents/tenants is	promotion and education	Government and the
caretaker on site who	essential. This is key to	of the service	management /strata
looks after the waste	achieving high participation		company
bins?	in the service amongst	Insufficient support for	
Is there an active	residents and to control	residents' queries or	If there is a
residents' committee (if	contamination.	suggestions	management/strata
one exists)?			company at the MDD it
Who is the waste	Multilingual communication	No caretaker and an	facilities contact with
collector, and does it	may also be necessary	inactive residents'	the council of
have any concerns with	through the use of simple	committee	owners/residents to
providing an improved	signage in a number of		inform them of any
collection service?	languages and using visual		changes and to also
Are there any existing	symbols/logos		answer any questions
waste issues on site			posed by residents.

²⁰ Typically for 10 units of more a communal bin store is more common



	Most suitable	Least suitable	Rationale
between the management company and the residents to date?			It also establishes the organisation that the Local Government needs to work with and highlights any current issues at the MDD



		•		N	/ULTI UNIT DWELLIN	G SITE VISI	T CHECKLIS	Γ		
Da	te of visit:						Name:			
Property	y Address:					Number	of units:			
Property	Contact pe	rson:								
Mixed	d Use?	YES / NO	if YES:	Comm'l U	nit number range:		Residenti	al Unit Nu	mber range:	
D'			(NO	If YES, Loc	ation of bin room:					
BIN ROOF	m on site:	YES ,	NO	lf NO, Bin	store location/s:					
Shar Individ	red or lual Bins	SHARED	INDIV	IDUAL	If INDIVIDUAL, pote	ntial for co	mmunal bi	n storage/	use?	
	Open com	plex:								
Access:	Gate / doo	or code:								
	Key / FOB	collect fro	m:							
Bir	n Room 1 M	easuremei	nts:	<u>Bin</u>	Room 2 Measureme	ents:	P cablo	Best KIT	CHEN CADDY drop off	location:
							B - Cable		0-other	
							L - letter	box		
							G - gate		/	
							F - foyer			
								/		
Are bins p	presented o	on verge:		YES / NO	If NO, where:					
Ver	ge Measure	ment and	street loca	ition:			Best locat	tion for Ve	rge Valet:	
					V - verge					
					PB - parking bay					
					0 athan					
					U - other					
	Damaged	Bins / Lids:		Existing Waste Education & Locatio			on	Potent	ial best location for \	Vaste Ed Materials
				N/A - none				L - sticke	rs on bin lids	
				L - stickers on bin lids			S - signage			
				S - signage			C - communal area			
				O - other				O - othei	r	
			MCP	140	BINS FOU	ND ON SITE		2601	EOCO 2401	EOCO 1401
	INGB 240L		IVIGB	140L	<u>IVIRB 2401</u>	-	IVIRB	360L	FOGO 240L	FOGO 140L
		MGB:			MRB:				FOGO:	
Colle	Collection:									
Additiona	al Commen	ts by audit	or:							
					ADMIN	USE ONLY:				
Data ha	as been:	Reviewed	:		Entered:		Added to	MUD prop	erty register folder:	
200010										

Appendix 4: MDD Site Check List (Courtesy of City of Vincent)



Appendix 5: Additional Resources

City of Vincent have developed a range of resources for MDDs FOGO rollout such as:

Strata Session

This was a live webinar held for Strata Managers, Property Managers and interested Council of Owners to inform them of the rollout process for FOGO in MDDs >10 units. It was held several weeks before the first rollout to MDDs. After the webinar a link of the recorded webinar was emailed to all Strata Managers with the webinar slides and answers to questions that came up in the session. The recording has also been sent to new Strata Managers who have taken over managing buildings in the City to assist them to get up to speed with the rollout process and new 3 bin FOGO system. https://youtu.be/NKMfxxpQ_80

Rollout information posters for Strata/MDDS

These were distributed in an email to Strata Managers at least two weeks in advance of their scheduled rollout date to put up in the complex and / or distribute to residents via email or their nominated communication channel with residents.

FOGO is coming poster

Raises awareness that waste system is changing, date of rollout for the building, what they can expect to receive, where it will be delivered to.

FOGO Bin changeover information poster

Gives Strata / Building Manager / Cleaners full information of waste collection days and frequency & how kitchen caddies will be delivered to residents.

If delivery to door of each unit was not practical for that building, Strata Managers had the option pick up caddies from our Depot or have them delivered to a central place at the property for building manager to distribute on site.









FOGO Bin Changeover Information

Property Address

8 Kadina Street, NORTH PERTH

Address

.

Bin Changeover Date

How the Changeover will work:

April 27th 2022

The changeover will take place on the usual collection day of the complex, so on the scheduled changeover day, if bins are presented by a caretaker/cleaner, please put bins out by 6am, even if they are empty, and leave bins out until the changeover is complete.

Your new waste collection bin day:

	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI
FOGO			<		
General Waste			\checkmark		\checkmark
Recycling			\checkmark		

Your new waste collection frequency:

	2x per week	Weekly	Fortnightly	Next collection date:
FOGO		\checkmark		May 4th
General Waste	✓			April 29th
Recycling		\checkmark		May 4th

Kitchen Caddy Delivery

Picked up from City of Vincent Works Depot		To be arranged by (date):
Delivered to property	\checkmark	Location: Unit doors



'How to sort your waste' Signage

The City offered this to MDDs to display in their bin storage areas. The sign was designed using icons from the Waste Sorted tool kit. The sign is lightweight Aluminium Composite Material (ACM) with predrilled holes. It is weatherproof and has UV and graffiti protective coatings. It is A1 sized (594 mm x 841 mm). The signs can be affixed to a wall or to 2 posts if free-standing. We suggested the sign be placed in either a high foot-traffic area of the complex or in a well-lit, prominent position in the bin storage area. The City amended the English sign to add a QR code which links directly to the Citys webpage where all 12 languages are available to download / print, along with further information on how to sort waste and a link to the Recycle Right website & app download. The City encouraged Strata Managers to pass this on to residents and print out / email around any languages they thought may be utilised at their specific properties. Once a request was received, signs were made available for pick up at the City's Library Customer Service desk.



https://www.vincent.wa.gov.au/how-to-sort-your-waste-correctly.aspx

Non-compliance bin hanger – used for both SUDs and MDDs

A non-compliance bin hanger was designed specifically for FOGO bins using the icons from the Waste Sorted Toolkit. This is used for both contamination and bin placement issues. The waste collection drivers affix the tag to the bin when they can see that a bin they are about to tip is obviously contaminated. There is also an accompanying swamper that goes ahead on foot to check FOGO bins prior to collection and tag any contaminated bins.



Recently the City has targeted some known contamination areas on busy streets, which are harder for the City's drivers to stop on, by having Waste Officers do visual bin checks along those streets early in the morning. The waste collection truck then goes into that area when they are finished and does not collect any tagged bins. A record of contaminated bins is maintained and there is a process in place for communicating with repeat offenders.



